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Studies of metagenomics and the human microbiome will tremendously expand our knowledge of the composition of microbial communities in the human body. As our understanding of microbial variation and corresponding genetic parameters is refined, this information can be applied to rational remodeling or “tailoring” of human-associated microbial communities and their associated functions. Physiologic features such as the development of innate and adaptive immunity, relative susceptibilities to infections, immune tolerance, bioavailability of nutrients, and intestinal barrier function may be modified by changing the composition and functions of the microbial communities. The specialty of gastroenterology will be affected profoundly by the ability to modify the gastrointestinal microbiota through the rational deployment of antibiotics, probiotics, and prebiotics. Antibiotics might be used to remove or suppress undesirable components of the human microbiome. Probiotics can introduce missing microbial components with known beneficial functions for the human host. Prebiotics can enhance the proliferation of beneficial microbes or probiotics, to maximize sustainable changes in the human microbiome. Combinations of these approaches might provide synergistic and effective therapies for specific disorders. The human microbiome could be manipulated by such “smart” strategies to prevent and treat acute gastroenteritis, antibiotic-associated diarrhea and colitis, inflammatory bowel disease, irritable bowel syndrome, necrotizing enterocolitis, and a variety of other disorders.

The Metagenomics Era and Gastroenterology

The science of metagenomics and the international Human Microbiome Project1,2 has ushered in a new era for the field of gastroenterology. Microbes and their genetic content outnumber their mammalian counterparts by 1–2 orders of magnitude,3 and the spatial topography of these populations within the gut shows a non-random distribution that ultimately benefits both microbe and host.4 New ribosomal RNA- and whole genome-based technologies have highlighted the potential importance of novel microbial species, with populations that differ between individuals, regions of the gut axis,5 and different mucosal layers at a single anatomical site.6 Culture-independent strategies such as high-throughput parallel sequencing and comparative genomics, metabolic profiling and functional genomics, fluorescence in situ hybridization, and phylogenetic microarrays will provide new insights into the composition, architecture, and functional roles of the human microbiota.7–9 These tools have provided key insights into many aspects of gastrointestinal disease, such as the discovery of reduced bacterial species diversity in patients with Crohn disease.10,11

As we begin to appreciate the functional significance of these dynamic communities, including their effect on human physiology and disease,12 new therapeutic approaches have emerged to provide a fresh perspective in the treatment of both acute and chronic disorders. Evidence suggests that perturbations of the gastrointestinal microbiota underlie many diseases and that therapeutic

Abbreviations used in this paper: AP-1, activator protein-1; APRIL, a proliferation-inducing ligand; cfu, colony-forming units; DSS, dextran sodium sulfate; GALT, gastrointestinal-associated lymphoid tissue; GOS, galacto-oligosaccharides; IBD, inflammatory bowel disease; IBS, irritable bowel syndrome; Ig, immunoglobulin; IκBα, inhibitor of NF-κB; IL, interleukin; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; NEC, necrotizing enterocolitis; NF-κB, nuclear factor-κB; PPAR-γ, peroxisome proliferator activated receptor-γ; RCT, randomized controlled trial; TGF-β, transforming growth factor beta; TLR, Toll-like receptor; TNBS, trinitrobenzene sulphonic acid; TNF, tumor necrosis factor.

© 2009 by the AGA Institute
0016-5085/09/$36.00
doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2009.01.072
Manipulation of microbial communities has the potential to ameliorate different gastrointestinal conditions. The composition of the intestinal microbiome may also affect mammalian physiology in extraintestinal compartments. For example, intestinal microbiome composition represented a key epigenetic factor modifying predisposition to type 1 diabetes in the nonobese diabetic mouse model. Changes in gastrointestinal microbial populations also correlated with specific patterns of metabolites excreted in the urine. These studies suggest that directed manipulation of the microbiome within the gastrointestinal tract may yield health benefits at remote sites. Human trials have shown effects of prebiotics and probiotics on systemic immune responses after oral intake, including overall health maintenance and reduction of duration of common infections. Pregnant women consuming oral probiotics conferred protection to their infants by reducing the risk of atopic eczema, and children given oral probiotics (Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG) showed a reduced risk of atopic eczema during a 4-year follow-up period. Probiotics may colonize mucosal surfaces outside of the gastrointestinal tract and confer health benefits at sites such as the oral cavity and the genitourinary tract after oral administration. Probiotic therapy also showed promise in the context of neurologic and psychiatric diseases, as well as in overall health maintenance, including reducing the duration of common illnesses such as upper respiratory infections and reducing absences from work or day care. Intentional manipulation of the human microbiome by probiotics may also modulate systemic immunity. The plasticity of these microbial communities and their aggregate biological functions indicate similar compositional and functional plasticity in human physiology and the human metabonome. The ideas of a core and variable human microbiome have been proposed and provide a conceptual framework for considering early development and relationships of the human microbiome with multiple physiologic functions. Microbial colonization of the human intestine within the first few days of life is an intricate process that results in a symbiotic relationship with the
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**Figure 1.** The gut microbiome as a therapeutic target: the “drug the extended” genome strategy. Perturbed metagenomic or metabonomic profiles associated with complex disease states can be restored to homeostasis with rationally selected antibiotic, probiotic, prebiotic, or combination treatment strategies. Adapted with permission from Macmillan Publishers Ltd: Nature Reviews Drug Discovery, copyright 2008. http://www.nature.com/nrd/

**Figure 2.** A super-organismal view of the human microbiome. Core and variable components of the human microbiome could have important implications for human health, including nutrient responsiveness, innate and adaptive immunity, and development. As the microbiome affects multiple aspects of human health and disease, host biology influences the composition and function of the commensal microbiota. A subset of microbial genes may be found in most healthy human beings (core microbiome), whereas variable components are present only in specific ethnic groups, age groups, geographic locations, or associated with specific dietary patterns or disease states. Manipulation of either the core or the variable parts of the human microbiome can affect human physiology, overall health status, and disease susceptibilities. Adapted with permission from Macmillan Publishers Ltd: Nature, copyright 2007. http://www.nature.com/nature/.
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The manipulation of microbial communities has the potential to ameliorate different gastrointestinal conditions. The composition of the intestinal microbiome may also affect mammalian physiology in extraintestinal compartments. For example, intestinal microbiome composition represented a key epigenetic factor modifying predisposition to type 1 diabetes in the nonobese diabetic mouse model. Changes in gastrointestinal microbial populations also correlated with specific patterns of metabolites excreted in the urine. These studies suggest that directed manipulation of the microbiome within the gastrointestinal tract may yield health benefits at remote sites. Human trials have shown effects of prebiotics and probiotics on systemic immune responses after oral intake, including overall health maintenance and reduction of duration of common infections. Pregnant women consuming oral probiotics conferred protection to their infants by reducing the risk of atopic eczema, and children given oral probiotics (Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG) showed a reduced risk of atopic eczema during a 4-year follow-up period. Probiotics may colonize mucosal surfaces outside of the gastrointestinal tract and confer health benefits at sites such as the oral cavity and the genitourinary tract after oral administration. Probiotic therapy also showed promise in the context of neurologic and psychiatric diseases, as well as in overall health maintenance, including reducing the duration of common illnesses such as upper respiratory infections and reducing absences from work or day care. Intentional manipulation of the human microbiome by probiotics may also modulate systemic immunity. The plasticity of these microbial communities and their aggregate biological functions indicate similar compositional and functional plasticity in human physiology and the human metabonome. The ideas of a core and variable human microbiome have been proposed and provide a conceptual framework for considering early development and relationships of the human microbiome with multiple physiologic functions.
host that is likely to maintain health and homeostasis. Newborns are exposed to beneficial bacteria during passage through the birth canal and, subsequently, through breastfeeding. Commensal microbes can enter the breast milk from the skin of the breast (areola) or via the bloodstream after translocation from the maternal gut to the lactating mammary gland. Molecular techniques showed *Escherichia coli*, *Clostridium*, *Bifidobacterium*, *Bacteroides*, *Streptococcus*, *Enterococcus*, and *Actinomyces* species to be the dominant bacteria in healthy infants. Microarray-based bacterial population profiling was used to show that bacterial populations become established after 1 week of life, but remain in a relative state of flux until reaching equilibrium, an adult-like microbial population, by the end of infancy. A special window of opportunity for therapeutic intervention appears to exist during the first year of life, considering the drastically altered microbial profiles observed in both infants given antibiotics and those delivered by Cesarean section, compared with vaginally delivered neonates not receiving antibiotics. Studies in healthy infants indicated that formula supplementation with a single human breast milk–derived probiotic strain improved overall feeding tolerance by increasing gastric emptying rates and reducing fasting antral areas. Colonization of the gut by commensal bacteria is essential for normal development of gastrointestinal-associated lymphoid tissue (GALT); this effect has been shown by the profound architectural and immunologic defects observed in germ-free rats. Neonatology and pediatric gastroenterology are providing important information about the concurrent development of the gastrointestinal microbiota and the function of the mucosal immune system. Although single probiotic strains may confer health benefits early in life, future studies are needed to determine whether combinations of diverse probiotic strains may yield more potent and sustainable benefits in children. Although it may seem intuitive that probiotic strategies would benefit from microbial diversity in oral formulations, individual strains may effectively serve as “starter” cultures to promote a sufficiently diverse, healthy microbial ecosystem. Similar to drugs, microbes may antagonize one another, so multimixture combinations should be considered carefully, especially in neonates and infants.

**Lessons From Antibiotics**

The suppression and elimination of microbial pathogens by antibiotics represents a time-tested approach in medical management. However, recent studies have highlighted the profound changes in microbial populations that result from applications of antimicrobial agents. Molecular profiling of infant fecal microbial communities after antibiotic treatment showed drastic reductions in total bacterial densities and alterations in population composition. These changes of the human-associated microbiota are usually temporary (rarely lasting >2 months), but long-term microbial population fluctuations have been reported in healthy adults. Next-generation DNA pyrosequencing technology was recently used to study fluoroquinolone-induced perturbations in the composition of the human microbiome. Although intestinal microbial populations have shown resilience as whole communities, several microbial taxa remained depleted 6 months after termination of oral ciprofloxacin therapy. Animal models are beginning to lend insights into the functional consequences of these perturbations in microbial populations. Microarray studies in rat pups, for example, showed amoxicillin-induced alterations in microbial populations that included depletion of the *Lactobacillus* genus; these alterations were associated with significant changes in the expression of nearly one-third of developmentally regulated genes. Furthermore, recent metabolomic studies have shown that depletion of gram-positive bacteria by vancomycin disrupts carbohydrate fermentation in mice; these changes resulted in increased quantities of unfermented oligosaccharides in the feces and reduced concentrations of short-chain fatty acids, including acetate, n-butyrate, propionate, and lactate. Thus, significant changes in the composition of gastrointestinal microbial communities can result in important functional differences in the host metabonomics (Figure 1).

Antibiotic-induced alterations in the composition of the gastrointestinal microbiota increase disease risk by increasing susceptibility to gastrointestinal infections. Antibiotic-associated diarrhea and colitis can be caused by clostridial pathogens such as *Clostridium difficile* or *Clostridium perfringens*. Comparison of fecal molecular profiles by temporal temperature gradient gel electrophoresis has shown specific microbial patterns that represented permissive microbial communities and predicted *C. difficile*–associated disease development. Another recent study in mice showed increased susceptibility of animals to invasive salmonellosis after perturbations of the microbiota with streptomycin and vancomycin. Furthermore, antibiotic therapy for children infected with *E. coli* strain O157:H7 can increase the risk of hemolytic-uremic syndrome and prolong fecal shedding. Thus, unintentional changes of the human microbiome by antibiotics can influence susceptibility to enteric pathogens.

Not surprisingly, antimicrobial treatment for *Helicobacter pylori* induces marked disturbances in the intestinal microbiota. Stool cultures from patients with *H. pylori* taken before, during, and after triple therapy showed drastic alterations, including overall pruning of species diversity, with specific reductions in the level of *Lactobacillus* and *Bifidobacterium* from their pretreatment levels. A separate study showed overgrowth of potentially pathogenic organisms, including drug-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* and yeasts. These changes could account for reports of treatment-associated *C. difficile* colitis and...
other forms of diarrhea that can reduce patient compliance. Probiotics, when used in combination with standard antibiotic therapy for *H. pylori* infection, increased pathogen eradication and reduced the gastrointestinal side effects of conventional therapy, as concluded by a meta-analysis of 14 randomized trials. Evidence suggests that probiotic bacteria suppress gastrointestinal pathogens and potentiate antibiotic efficacy by production of antibacterial factors, including bacteriocins and small organic molecules. Small molecules secreted by probiotics, such as *Lactobacillus acidophilus* and some strains of *Lactobacillus reuteri*, reduced the level of expression of enterohemorrhagic *E. coli* virulence genes. Furthermore, a bacteriocin produced by *Lactobacillus salivarius* was responsible for preventing invasive infections in mice caused by foodborne *Listeria monocytogenes*. Clearly, “endogenous” antibiotics produced by indigenous bacteria can contribute to beneficial effects of probiotics.

**Lessons from Probiotics**

The concept of probiotics, a term that literally means “for life,” was introduced at the turn of the 20th century. Russian Nobel laureate Elie Metchnikoff, in his 1907 opus *The Prolongation of Life: Optimistic Studies*, proposed the heretical idea that ingesting microbes could have beneficial effects for human beings, especially to treat digestive diseases. Nearly a century later, British microbiologist Roy Fuller suggested that the beneficial effects are mediated by an improvement in intestinal microbial balance. The modern definition, drafted by joint expert consultation of the Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations and the World Health Organization in Argentina in 2001, identifies probiotics as “Live microorganisms, which, when consumed in adequate amounts, confer a health benefit on the host.” A wealth of new studies in both the clinical and basic sciences have sought to determine the safety and efficacy of probiotic therapies in the treatment of gastrointestinal disease. The most commonly studied organisms fall within the genera *Bifidobacterium* and *Lactobacillus*, but also include lactic acid bacteria such as *Lactococcus* and *Streptococcus*. Other probiotics and promising candidates include organisms of the genera *Bacillus*, *Bacteroides*, *Enterococcus*, *Escherichia*, *Faecalibacterium*, *Propionibacterium*, and the yeast *Saccharomyces*. Compared with the broader category of beneficial microbes, probiotics must be isolated as pure microorganisms, and single strains or combinations of strains must yield health benefit(s) in the target species in controlled human or animal trials.

Probiotics are gaining widespread inclusion as new prevention strategies or therapies for multiple gastrointestinal diseases, as evidenced by multiple recent meta-analyses and systematic reviews (Table 1), although the practice of combining data from studies of different genera, species, strains, and doses of probiotics provides limited information about specific therapeutic interventions. Mechanisms of probiosis include remodeling of microbial communities and suppression of pathogens, immunomodulation by up-regulation of anti-inflammatory factors, immunomodulation by suppression of proinflammatory factors, enhancement of immunity, effects on epithelial cell differentiation, and proliferation and promotion of intestinal barrier function (Figure 3). Prophylactic manipulation of the microbiome may be important for disease prevention, including acute infections of the gastrointestinal tract. Several studies have examined the role of microbial supplementation to promote resilience of the host to infectious challenges. Molecular studies of microbial communities in a mouse model of antibiotic-associated diarrhea show that the probiotic yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* var. *boulardii*, commonly referred to as *S. boulardii*, facilitated more rapid recovery of the normal microbiota to its pre-antibiotic state. Microbial supplementation could promote species diversity and increase resilience of microbial communities to challenges such as infection and concomitant antimicrobial therapy.

Several mechanisms of probiotics that suppress inflammation have been identified. *Lactobacillus johnsonii* induced transforming growth factor β (TGF-β) production in Caco-2 cells cocultured with leukocytes. High levels of TGF-β were also seen in the sera of infants given *Bifidobacterium breve*; increases in TGF-β levels are believed to result from increased Smad signaling within peripheral blood mononuclear cells. One study reported the ability of VSL#3 (the probiotic mixture containing 3 *Bifidobacterium* species, 4 *Lactobacillus* species, and *Streptococcus thermophilus*) or its 3 individual components *Bifidobacterium* species, but not the component *Streptococcus* or *Lactobacillus* species, to induce interleukin (IL)-10 production by human dendritic cells. In addition to increasing production of anti-inflammatory cytokines such as TGF-β or IL-10, probiotics stimulated proliferation of regulatory T-cell populations. Regulatory T cells that suppress T helper 1 and 2 effector responses were induced by *Lactobacillus paracasei* in mixed lymphocyte cultures. Recent studies have shown that regulatory T cells can be stimulated by bacterial-induced dendritic cell maturation.

In addition to stimulation of immunoregulatory networks, probiotics can suppress proinflammatory signaling pathways in epithelial or immune cells. *Avirulent Salmonella* strains blocked ubiquitination and degradation of inhibitor of nuclear factor-κB (NF-κB) (IkB-α), which increased levels of this inhibitory protein and blocked the NF-κB pathway, thus preventing synthesis of proinflammatory cytokines, including tumor necrosis factor (TNF) and IL-8 by human intestinal epithelial cells. In contrast to suppression of transcription factor activation, *Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron* induced nuclear clearance of the RelA subunit of NF-κB by nuclear per-
oxisome proliferator activated receptor-γ. Thus, probiotics can impede entry or promote exit of key transcription factors. L. reuteri exhibited a strain-specific ability to suppress TNF production by lipopolysaccharide (LPS)-stimulated macrophages through the c-Jun-dependent activator protein-1 (AP-1) pathway. L. reuteri also suppressed NF-κB activation by preventing IκB-α degradation and inhibiting translocation of RelA into the nucleus. Perturbations of cell signaling pathways depend on the agonist used (eg, LPS or TNF). A 2-step model for probiotic-mediated immunomodulation can be proposed: one specific probiotic strain can suppress AP-1

Table 1. Large Systematic Reviews (>1000 patients) of Randomized Controlled Clinical Trials That Included Probiotics for the Treatment of Specific Gastrointestinal Diseases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indication</th>
<th>Patient population</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acute gastroenteritis</td>
<td>Adults and children with infectious diarrhea duration &lt;14 days</td>
<td>1917</td>
<td>Lactobacillus spp. Streptococcus spp. E. faecium “S. boulardii”</td>
<td>Reduced risk of diarrhea at 3 days (RR, 0.66; 95% CI, 0.55–0.77) Reduced duration by 30.48 (95% CI, 18.51–42.46) hours Reduced duration by 0.8 days (95% CI, 0.6–1.1 days)</td>
<td>Allen et al, 200492</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Children 1–60 months old with diarrhea duration &lt;1 week</td>
<td>1917</td>
<td>Lactobacillus spp. Bifidobacterium spp. S. thermophilus B. subtilis E. faecium “S. boulardii”</td>
<td></td>
<td>Huang et al, 2002293</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. difficile-associated diarrhea or colitis</td>
<td>Children &lt;18 years of age receiving antibiotic therapy for any reason</td>
<td>1986</td>
<td>Lactobacillus spp. Bifidobacterium spp. S. thermophilus “S. boulardii”</td>
<td>Per-protocol: reduced risk of AAD (RR, 0.49; 95% CI, 0.32–0.74)</td>
<td>Johnston et al, 200797</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Children and adults receiving antibiotics</td>
<td>1214</td>
<td>Lactobacillus spp. B. longum E. Faecium “S. boulardii”</td>
<td>Intention-to-treat: no significant benefit (RR, 0.90; 95% CI, 0.50–1.63) Reduced risk of AAD (OR, 0.37; 95% CI, 0.26–0.53)</td>
<td>D’Souza et al, 2002100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irritable bowel syndrome</td>
<td>Children and adults with IBS established by Rome criteria</td>
<td>1011</td>
<td>Lactobacillus spp. Bifidobacterium spp. VSL#3 Propionibacterium freudenreichii</td>
<td>Improved clinical outcomes (RR, 1.22; 95% CI, 1.07–1.4)</td>
<td>Nikfar et al, 2008128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Children and adults with IBS</td>
<td>1404</td>
<td>Lactobacillus spp. Bifidobacterium spp. Streptococcus spp. VSL#3 B. subtilis L. lactis E. coli P. freudenreichii “S. boulardii”</td>
<td>Reduced global IBS symptoms (RR, 0.77; 95% CI, 0.62–0.94) Reduced abdominal pain (RR, 0.78; 95% CI, 0.69–0.88)</td>
<td>McFarland and Dublin 2008129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Necrotizing enterocolitis</td>
<td>Preterm infants &lt;37 weeks and/or birth weight &lt;2500 g</td>
<td>1425</td>
<td>Lactobacillus spp. Bifidobacterium spp. S. thermophilus “S. boulardii”</td>
<td>Reduced incidence of stage II–III NEC (RR, 0.32; 95% CI, 0.17–0.60) Reduced risk of mortality (RR, 0.43; 95% CI, 0.25–0.75) No significant reduction in risk of nosocomial sepsis or number of days on total parenteral nutrition</td>
<td>Alfaieh and Bassler 2008147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Preterm very low birthweight neonates &lt;33 weeks gestation and birth weight &lt;1500 g</td>
<td>1393</td>
<td>Lactobacillus spp. Bifidobacterium spp. S. thermophilus “S. boulardii”</td>
<td>Reduced risk of stage II–III NEC (RR, 0.36; 95% CI, 0.20–0.65) Reduced risk of death (RR, 0.47; 95% CI, 0.3–0.73) Reduced number of days to full feeds (RR, −2.74; 95% CI, −4.98 to −0.51) No significant reduction in risk of sepsis</td>
<td>Deshpande et al, 2007148</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AAD, antibiotic-associated diarrhea; CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio; RR, relative risk.
signaling when cells are stimulated by microbial signals (LPS), and a different signaling pathway (NF-κB) can be inhibited when immune cells are stimulated by host cytokines (TNF).

In addition to suppressing inflammation, microbes, including probiotics, can stimulate immune responses by different mechanisms. One report cited the different abilities of various strains of *Lactobacillus* to induce IL-6.
IL-12, and TNF production from murine dendriti-
cells.64 The E. coli Nissle strain induced proinflammatory
IL-8 production by T84 and HT-29 colonocyte lines,65
whereas Lactobacillus casei induced phosphorylation and
activation of NF-κB subunit RelA and induced IL-6 in
primary intestinal epithelial cells from monoaassociated
rats.66 Certain subsets of activated T cells produce IL-23
and IL-17, cytokines linked to mucosal injury in Crohn
disease77; the differentiation of T(H)17 lymphocytes68,69
and IL-17/IL-23 production by lymphocytes is regulated,
partly, by commensal microbes70,71 and probiotics.72
Other immunomodulatory functions reported in the lit-
erature include increased phagocytosis capacity, stimulation
of natural killer cell activity, enhanced cell-mediated
immunity, and increased production of mucosal immu-
oglobulin (Ig)A.73 Commensal bacteria, including Lacto-
 bacillus plantarum and Bacillus subtilis, or bacterial compo-
nants such as LPS or flagellin that serve as ligands for
Toll-like receptors (TLRs), stimulated human colono-
cytes to produce the protein APRIL (a proliferation-in-
ducing ligand). This protein mediates class-switch recom-
bination of B cells to IgA2.74 Intriguingly, IgA seems to be
essential for dampening the mucosal inflammatory res-
ponse to commensal bacteria, given that Rag1−/− IgA-
deficient mice generated a robust innate immune re-
response to commensal Bacteroides fragilis.75
Recent studies have shown the efficacy of probiotics in
improving intestinal barrier function. Proteins secreted
by L. rhamnosus GG facilitated tight junction formation
and reduced barrier permeability induced by hydrogen
peroxide in Caco-2 cell monolayers via protein kinase C
and mitogen-activated protein kinase pathways.76 Exposure
to intestinal epithelial cells to VSL#3 prevented
Salmonella-induced reduction in transepithelial resistance
and increased mucin gene expression while stabilizing
tight junctions.65 The single probiotic strain L. rhamnosus
GG suppressed changes in tight junction proteins, barrier
permeability, and transepithelial resistance induced by
enterohemorrhagic E. coli O157:H7 in intestinal epithe-
ell cell monolayers.77 L. acidophilus or its conditioned
media increased Cl−/OH− exchange activity in Caco-2
cells by a phosphatidylinositol 3 kinase–mediated path-
way;78 this mechanism of action could account for atten-
uation of secretory diarrhea induced by enteric patho-
gens. A bacterial quorum-sensing molecule secreted by B.
subtilis was internalized by human intestinal epithelial
cells and stimulated production of a heat shock protein
that can protect cell monolayers from oxidant-induced
injury.79 Finally, commensal bacteria appear to maintain
intestinal homeostasis through TLR stimulation. Mice
deficient in MyD88, an adaptor molecule essential for
TLR-mediated signaling, had impaired survival after ad-
ministration of dextran sodium sulphate (DSS). This
result was replicated in wild-type mice after depletion of
their commensal microbiota by broad-spectrum antibi-
otics.80
Investigations of probiotics are yielding diverse mech-
anisms of action and multiple disease targets. Microbial
mediators of biochemical function remain largely un-
known, with recent studies beginning to unravel candi-
date signals linking microbe to host. More progress has
been made recently with mammalian host signaling path-
ways and genes affected by commensals, beneficial mi-
crobes, and probiotics. Preliminary insights suggest that
animal models are providing basic lessons in how benefi-
cial microbes and probiotics may modulate signaling
pathways and disease phenotypes. As more comprehen-
sive human studies emerge, the detailed knowledge base
linking specific probiotic strains with specific human
diseases is being assembled.

Lessons From Prebiotics and
Synbiotics

The term prebiotic was first introduced by Gibson
and Roberfroid in 1995 and is defined as “a nondigestible
food ingredient that beneficially affects the host by selec-
tively stimulating the growth and/or activity of one or a
limited number of bacteria in the colon, and thus im-
proves host health.”81 Prebiotics are typically oligo-
saccharides or more complex saccharides that are selectively
used by commensal bacteria, including species consid-
ered to be beneficial for the human host. Prebiotics must
resist host digestion, absorption, and adsorption before
fermentation by ≥1 species of the resident microbiota.82
Well-studied prebiotics, oligofructose, galacto-oligosac-
charides (GOS), and lactulose, remodel intestinal micro-
bial communities, but only specific classes of microbes
that are already resident in the gastrointestinal tract can
be stimulated by prebiotics. Importantly, prebiotics have
begun to be tested as therapeutics for human diseases.83
If combined with compatible probiotics, prebiotics may
teoretically enhance colonization, survival, and function
of probiotics in addition to resident beneficial microbes.
When fermented by gut bacteria, these carbohydrates
lower luminal pH (creating an inhospitable environment
for pathogens and stimulating mucin production)
through their conversion to short-chain fatty acids, such
as butyrate, which also serve as energy sources for intes-
tinal epithelial cells.84 Fermented short-chain fatty acids
also stimulate GALT immune cells, by direct interactions
and by inducing epithelial cell production of stimulatory
cytokines and chemokines.22

Synbiotics, a term also coined by Gibson and Rober-
froid in 1995, refers to synergistic beneficial effects de-
erived from “a mixture of probiotics and prebiotics that
beneficially affects the host by improving the survival and
implantation of live microbial dietary supplements in the
gastrointestinal tract, by selectively stimulating the
growth and/or by activating the metabolism of one or a
limited number of health-promoting bacteria, and thus
improving host welfare.”81 Synbiotics have the potential
to promote the survival and increase the function of
probiotics and resident beneficial microbes. Careful selection of probiotic strains and compatible prebiotics will maximize the potential effect of these strategies, in terms of sustainable changes of host-associated microbial communities. One study that examined biological activities of 8 different prebiotics, combined with 1 of 4 probiotics, found that several synbiotic combinations changed the composition of the fecal microbiota to a greater extent than their respective probiotic components alone.85 A double-blind randomized controlled trial (RCT) with 31 infants showed that *L. plantarum*, when administered in a synbiotic combination with oligofructose, successfully colonized 100% of infants at 2 months and 32% at 6 months after a 1-week administration.86 Another recent RCT examined 925 infants at risk for allergic disorders and found that daily administration of a 4-species probiotics mixture, combined with GOS, reduced antibiotic prescriptions and respiratory infections.87 These studies suggest that effective combinations of probiotics and prebiotics result in sustainable changes in microbial composition and benefits for the human host. However, studies have not clearly shown synergies of prebiotics/probiotics combinations in vivo, and future studies are needed to explore relative efficacies and mechanisms of action of such mixtures.

**Acute Gastroenteritis**

Although specific microbial pathogens have been identified as causative agents of acute gastroenteritis, antibiotic treatment strategies are limited in scope and can exacerbate toxin-mediated diseases in this context. One successful antibiotics-based strategy includes rifaximin for the prevention and treatment of traveler’s diarrhea.88 In contrast to antibiotics, microbial supplementation by probiotics has been studied as an approach for prevention or attenuation of acute gastroenteritis. Manipulation of the gastrointestinal microbiota by probiotics can reduce the duration of illness, presumably by suppression of pathogens or modulation of mucosal immunity. In a seminal RCT that enrolled 40 children hospitalized with acute watery (mostly rotavirus-associated) diarrhea, Shornikova et al89 showed that *L. reuteri* (strain SD2112; 1010–1011 colony forming units [cfu]/d for the duration of hospitalization or up to 5 days) reduced the duration of illness by an average of 1.2 days compared with the placebo group.89 *L. paracasei* (strain ST11; 1 × 1010 cfu/d for 5 days) reduced the duration of illness in a double-blind RCT of infants and children with moderate non–rotavirus diarrhea.90 In a RCT with 571 children, 5 days of either *L. rhamnosus* (strain GG; 1.2 × 1010 cfu/d) or a mixture of *D. bulgaricus* var *bulgaricus* (strain LMG-P17550; 2 × 109 cfu/d), *L. acidophilus* (strain LMG-P17549; 2 × 109 cfu/d), *S. thermophilus* (strain LMG-17503; 2 × 109 cfu/d), and *Bifidobacterium bifidum* (strain LMG-P17500; 1 × 109 cfu/dose) reduced average disease duration by 36.5 hours and 45.0 hours, respectively. However, a similar effect was not observed with either *S. boulardii* (strain It; 1 × 1010 yeasts/d), *Bacillus clausii* (strains O/C84, N/R84, T84, and SIN84; 2 × 109 cfu/d), or *Enterococcus faecium* (strain SF68; 1.5 × 109 cfu/d).91 A systematic review of 23 RCTs reported a mean reduction in the duration of acute gastroenteritis of 30.48 hours after treatment with probiotics, compared with placebo,92 whereas another large meta-analysis of 18 studies found an overall reduction in the duration of disease by 0.8 days.93

Clinical studies of synbiotic preparations in the treatment of specific gastrointestinal diseases are limited, but a combination of prebiotics and probiotics has been shown to effectively reduce the duration of acute gastroenteritis. One prospective RCT that enrolled 496 children in day care centers found that children consuming a synbiotic blend of *Bifidobacterium lactis* (strain CNCM I-3446), oligofructose, and acacia gum experienced a 20% reduction in the number of days with diarrhea.95 The potential role(s) of prebiotics without probiotics for prevention or attenuation of acute gastroenteritis remains unclear.

**Antibiotic-Associated Diarrhea and Colitis**

Antibiotic-induced alterations of the gastrointestinal microbiota clearly increase the risk of antibiotic-associated gastrointestinal disorders in susceptible individuals. Intuitively, the concomitant addition of beneficial microbes to treatment regimens for these disorders offers new opportunities to promote microbial diversity and resilience of the host. A series of systematic reviews showed promising but incomplete evidence to recommend probiotic preparations to treat *C. difficile*-associated diarrhea in children or adults96 or to prevent antibiotic-associated diarrhea in children.97 Among the probiotic strains showing the most promise in this context are *L. rhamnosus* GG and *S. boulardii*.98 In the elderly, *L. casei* (strain DN-114 001; 1.94 × 1010 cfu/d), *B. bulgaricus* (1.94 × 109 cfu/d), and *S. thermophilus* (1.94 × 1010 cfu/d), administered throughout the course of antibiotics and for 1 week after cessation, significantly reduced the risk of developing antibiotic-associated diarrhea in a double-blind RCT of 135 hospital patients.99 A large number of trials have examined the efficacy of probiotics given in conjunction with antibiotics to reduce the occurrence of either antibiotic-associated diarrhea or *C. difficile*-associated disease, with both yeast and bacterial probiotics showing a moderate but significant benefit.100

Combinations of antibiotics and probiotics have also been tested for treatment of antibiotic-associated diarrhea and colitis. In a RCT enrolling 142 patients with *C. difficile*-associated diarrhea, individuals given the probiotic oligofructose (12 g/d for 30 days) along with anti- clostridial therapy (metronidazole and vancomycin) had significantly fewer relapses and shorter hospital stays per
relapse than those receiving antibiotics alone. One randomized, double-blind parallel group study examined the effects of a combination of antibiotics, probiotics, and prebiotics. Thirty healthy volunteers were given cefpodoxime along with L. acidophilus (strain NCFB 1748; 1 × 10^{11} 	ext{ cfu/d}), B. longum (strain BB 536; 2.5 × 10^{10} 	ext{ cfu/d}), and oligofructose (15 g/d) for 21 days; oligofructose alone; or placebo. C. difficile was found in the stool of only 1 individual given the synbiotic combination compared with 6 people given only the probiotic and 6 individuals receiving placebo. Ultimately, the most effective strategies could use various combinations of antibiotics to suppress or eliminate pathogens, probiotics to supplement altered microbial communities, and prebiotics to replenish microorganisms diminished by changes in diet or therapy.

**Inflammatory Bowel Disease**

Microbial dysbiosis has been implicated in inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), and numerous studies have documented differences in gastrointestinal microbial populations between healthy individuals and patients with IBD. In the IL-10–deficient mouse model of colitis, replacement of missing lactobacilli populations ameliorated cecal inflammation. A recent review of probiotic therapies for the induction and maintenance of remission in IBD concluded that beneficial effects were modest and strain specific.

Promising preliminary results were observed in 34 patients with refractory ulcerative colitis that were given the probiotic preparation VSL#3 (3.6 × 10^{12} 	ext{ bacteria/d for 6 weeks}); 77% responded to therapy, with no adverse effects reported. Treatment with the same probiotic mixture (9 × 10^{11} 	ext{ bacteria/d for 12 months}) improved IBD questionnaire scores and prevented episodes of acute pouchitis in patients with ulcerative colitis and ileal pouch-anal anastomoses in a double-blind placebo-controlled trial. In a small double-blind RCT, treatment with VSL#3 (6 × 10^{11} 	ext{ bacteria/d for 12 months or until relapse}) maintained remission in patients with chronic pouchitis. In rat pups with DSS-induced colitis, the VSL#3 mixture also attenuated disease, significantly lowering both disease activity and histopathologic indices. However, the probiotic L. salivarius (subsp. salivarius 433118) yielded no benefits in 2 different mouse models of colitis. Careful selection of correct probiotic strains, strain combinations, and doses might be crucial for sustainable effects, in terms of maintenance of remission or treatment of acute disease.

In addition to microbial supplementation, selective stimulation of microbial populations that reduce intestinal inflammation or maintain immune homeostasis could offer promising avenues to new therapies. One randomized double-blind crossover trial examined the efficacy of prebiotics in 24 patients with IBD. In patients with ileal pouch-anal anastomoses given the fructose polymer inulin (24 g/d for 3 weeks), mucosal inflammation was reduced at the pouch site, accompanied by increased butyrate, reduced pH and bile acids, and lower concentrations of B. fragilis. Published trials evaluating the efficacy of prebiotics in Crohn disease have also shown promising results, but are limited in size. For example, 10 patients with active Crohn disease given oligofructose (15 g/d for 3 weeks) had a significant aggregate reduction in the Harvey Bradshaw disease index, from 9.8 to 6.9, along with increased numbers of fecal bifidobacteria and a trend toward increased numbers of IL-10–positive dendritic cells. Multiple studies reported a benefit of prebiotics in animal models of IBD, including oligofructose or lactulose in rats given trinitrobenzene sulphonic acid (TNBS), oligofructose/inulin in HLA-B27 transgenic rats, and inulin in rats given DSS. However, analogous to probiotics, careful prebiotic selection is essential, given that oligofructose, alone or in a synthetic combination, failed to produce a benefit in DSS-challenged rats. Symbiotics were effective in one small double-blind RCT that included 18 patients with active ulcerative colitis; treatment for 1 month with a symbiotic mixture of a natural Bifidobacterium longum isolate (4 × 10^{11} 	ext{ bacteria/d}) and inulin-oligofructose (12 g/d), a prebiotic selectively used by this probiotic agent in vitro, significantly reduced mucosal inflammatory markers and showed a trend toward histologic and sigmoidoscopic score improvements.

Results from trials of symbiotics in patients with Crohn disease are less convincing than for patients with other forms of IBD, primarily because of the small numbers of patients enrolled in the Crohn disease studies. One double-blind RCT examined 30 patients with active Crohn disease who had just undergone resection. No differences were observed in endoscopic scores or clinical relapse rates when patients given a mixture of 4 lactic acid–producing bacteria (Pediococcus pentosaceus, L. paracasei, Lactococcus raffinolactis, and L. plantarum strain 2362; 1 × 10^{10} of each bacterium/d) with 4 fermentable fibers (β-glucans, inulin, pectin, and resistant starch; 2.5 g of each carbohydrate/d) for 24 months were compared with those given placebo. Crohn disease could be relatively refractory to microbial manipulation, or this disorder might require different approaches for therapeutic microbiology. Deficiencies of Firmicutes such as Faecalibacterium prausnitzii could determine susceptibility to Crohn disease and could indicate new directions for microbiome-targeted therapies. F. prausnitzii–based microbial supplementation of mice challenged with TNBS reduced intestinal inflammation and injury; this organism was associated with the modulation of ≥8 metabolites in the urinary compartment alone. Probiotic strategies, based on metagenomics, metabonomics, and new classes of microbes, might be important for future management of Crohn disease and other intestinal disorders.
Irritable Bowel Syndrome and Related Disorders

Irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) has been characterized as constipation-predominant, diarrhea-predominant, or as a disorder with alternating patterns of constipation or diarrhea predominance. IBS includes a constellation of symptoms such as abdominal pain, bloating, and altered defecation patterns. Microbial manipulation could provide tangible benefits for intestinal fermentation or gas production, intestinal motility patterns, and antinociceptive or anti-inflammatory effects that result from changes in the host-associated microbiome. One large systematic review evaluating >1000 patients with IBS concluded that probiotic therapy significantly improved clinical outcomes, whereas another report examining 20 RCTs found that probiotics consumption was associated with an improvement in global IBS symptoms, especially reduced abdominal pain. In particular, 8 weeks of *Bifidobacterium infantis* (strain 35624; $1 \times 10^{10}$ bacteria/d), but not *L. salivarius* (subsp. *salivarius* UCC4331; $1 \times 10^{10}$ bacteria/d), improved cardinal IBS symptoms of abdominal pain or discomfort, bloating or distension, and bowel movement difficulty, while increasing the serum IL-10/IL-12 ratio, suggesting a generalized anti-inflammatory effect. In a more recent double-blind RCT, patients with IBS receiving a probiotic mixture (total $2.5 \times 10^9$ cfu/d for 8 weeks) consisting of 2 strains of *L. acidophilus* (NCIMB 30157 and NCIMB 30156), *B. bifidum* (strain NCIMB 30172), and *B. infantis* (strain NCIMB 30153) reported a significant improvement in symptom severity scores and quality of life, compared with patients taking placebo.

Relevant to IBS, probiotics can affect gastrointestinal motility and nociception by causing fundamental changes in the composition and function of the intestinal microbiota. In a randomized trial, the probiotic *L. reuteri* (strain ATCC 55730; $1 \times 10^8$ bacteria/d for 28 days) reduced crying times in breastfed colicky infants; the same probiotic strain ($1 \times 10^8$ cfu/d for 30 days) reduced regurgitation and mean crying times while increasing gastric emptying rates in preterm neonates. These studies highlight the potential effect of intentional manipulation of microbial communities on physiologic variables such as intestinal motility and pain perception. Animal studies have provided some evidence for mechanisms of action for the results from pediatric clinical trials. In mice, administration of *L. paracasei* (strain NCC2461) reversed the emergence of visceral hypersensitivity to colorectal distension after antibiotic-induced perturbations of the microbiota. This benefit of abdominal pain reduction was associated with decreased quantities of substance P in the visceral plexus. In addition to suppressing pain signaling by reducing quantities of key mediators, beneficial microbes can up-regulate analgesic receptors in the intestine. *L. acidophilus* (strain NCFM) increased expression of opioid receptors in human intestinal epithelial cells, providing a possible mechanism for its mediation of analgesic effects in the gut.

Few studies have examined prebiotics as a treatment strategy for IBS, and concerns linger that the addition of fermentation substrates could worsen intestinal gas production. One double-blind crossover trial with 21 patients with IBS showed that 4 weeks of oligofructose therapy (6 g/d) had no effect on symptom scores, whole gut transit time, fecal weight or pH, or fasting breath hydrogen. However, a double-blind, controlled trial involving 20 preterm infants found that a prebiotic combination of oligofructose and GOS (1.8 g/d for 14 days) improved feeding tolerance by reducing stool viscosity and gastrointestinal transit time, compared with control formula. Promising results with prebiotics have provided encouragement for possible symbiotics-based approaches for the management of IBS. A parallel group study comparing *L. paracasei* (strain B21060; $1 \times 10^{10}$ cfu/d for 12 weeks) plus a prebiotic mixture versus the prebiotic mixture alone in 267 patients with IBS found...
that the synbiotic treatment significantly increased the number of patients who rated their pain as absent or mild compared with baseline. Furthermore, subgroup analysis within the diarrhea-predominant IBS population showed a significant reduction in number of bowel movements and abdominal pain in the synbiotic treatment group.\textsuperscript{137}

Microbial dysbiosis and the relative preponderance of specific classes of microbes could contribute to the pathogenesis of IBS. In 2000, Pimentel et al.\textsuperscript{138} published results from a study of 47 patients who were codiagnosed with IBS and small intestinal bacterial overgrowth and treated with antibiotics. Individuals with evidence of successful treatment of bacterial overgrowth, as determined by the lactulose breath test, were more likely than those who failed eradication to have resolved IBS, based on the Rome criteria.\textsuperscript{138} This study linked bacterial overgrowth to IBS and inspired other small, prospective RCTs that consistently yielded a long-term benefit, although further studies are recommended.\textsuperscript{142} Careful selection of an appropriate antimicrobial agent is critical, especially because antimicrobial agents have been shown to trigger IBS. One prospective case–control study of 91 patients in a general medical practice found that those taking antibiotics for nongastrointestinal symptoms were >3 times as likely to report symptoms associated with IBS than were age-matched controls not prescribed antibiotics.\textsuperscript{143} The specific antibiotics tested and their antimicrobial spectrum might account for differences in clinical outcomes, because indigenous bacterial populations respond differently to different antibiotics.

**Necrotizing Enterocolitis**

Considering the wide fluctuations observed in intestinal microbial populations during infancy and the profound effects of antibiotic treatment in neonates, it is important to carefully consider the selection of microbiological therapeutics for patients with necrotizing enterocolitis (NEC). Despite the lack of any specific microbial cause for NEC and the significant questions that remain about the efficacy of antimicrobial strategies for this disorder, agents such as ampicillin plus ceftotaxime or an aminoglycoside, depending on local resistance patterns, are currently recommended as standard therapy for the treatment of NEC.\textsuperscript{144} Relatively little research exists on the use of antibiotics to prevent the development of NEC in low-birthweight or premature infants. A systematic Cochrane review of 5 RCTs that evaluated gentamicin, kanamycin, and vancomycin found insufficient evidence to support enteral antibiotic use as prophylaxis for NEC.\textsuperscript{145} One retrospective study examined newborns admitted to a neonatal intensive care unit with central venous and arterial catheters and found that administration of amoxicillin-clavulanate and gentamicin was inversely correlated with NEC.\textsuperscript{146}

Trials of intentional microbial manipulation with probiotics have generated promising agents for the prevention or treatment of NEC. Two recent systematic reviews evaluated RCTs that tested the ability of probiotic supplementation to prevent NEC in preterm neonates. Both meta-analyses found that probiotic therapy reduced the risk of NEC by approximately two-thirds and reduced the risk of all-cause mortality by 50%.\textsuperscript{147,148} One trial, involving 145 neonates that each weighed <1500 g, found that supplementation with a probiotic mixture containing \textit{B. infantis}, \textit{B. bifidus}, and \textit{S. thermophilus} (3.5 × 10^8 cfu/d of each bacterium until 36 weeks postconceptual age) reduced incidence of NEC, severity of NEC, and infant mortality.\textsuperscript{149} Preterm piglets delivered by Cesarean section and fed parenterally with a mixture of \textit{1 Bifidobacterium} and 4 \textit{Lactobacillus} species had reduced clinical NEC scores, enhanced brush border enzyme activity, and improved ratios of beneficial to pathogenic bacteria, compared with control (formula-fed) piglets.\textsuperscript{150} Probiotics might be useful for the medical management of this disease by one of several mechanisms. In a rat model of NEC, animals given \textit{1kB} kinase inhibitors benefited through decreased mortality and intestinal injury, suggesting one potential mechanism of probiosis, namely, suppression of inflammation by the NF-\textit{kB} signaling pathway.\textsuperscript{151} Studies with \textit{B. thetaiotaomicron} (strain VPI 5482) reported the ability of commensal bacteria to stimulate angiogenesis in mouse intestinal villi.\textsuperscript{152} This finding suggests another potential role for probiotics in the treatment of NEC by alleviation of hypoxic-ischemic injury\textsuperscript{153} and indicates the variety of potential benefits conferred by microbes on host physiology.

**Future Perspectives: What Lies Ahead?**

As the global efforts in human microbiome-related research and therapeutic microbiology expand,\textsuperscript{154} the knowledge base of the diversity present in the gastrointestinal tract and the functional consequences of that diversity will be more deeply appreciated. Careful selection of drugs, nutrients, and bacterial strains is essential because antibiotics, probiotics, and prebiotics have a variety of biological effects, each with implications for the human microbiome. Careful preclinical screens, driven by improved understanding of the fundamental mechanisms that underlie perturbations of microbial communities, will be essential as gastroenterology enters the metagenomics era.

The current reality is that the menu of useful and established antibiotics, prebiotics, and probiotics remains rather limited in scope. To address regulatory constraints and ethical concerns,\textsuperscript{155} scientific investigations must continue to increase our knowledge of microbes and their role in health and disease states. In concert with improved understanding of mechanisms of
action, the menu must expand in all 3 categories so that rational selection of effective microbial manipulation strategies may yield tangible benefits in human and veterinary medicine. As new commensal microbes are discovered and established commensals are explored in greater detail, next-generation antibiotics, including antimicrobial peptides and new drug classes, will be identified. Instead of mining soil or environmental microbes for antibiotics as in the past, the lion’s share of novel antimicrobial agents in the 21st century may be discovered by mining the human and mammalian microbiomes. These compounds may be expected to be evolutionarily tailored to preserve health-associated microbiomes, while fortifying defenses against unwelcome invaders or pathogens.

New prebiotics will be discovered as studies continue to explore the glycome of human breast milk, saliva, and the intestinal milieu. Novel plant-derived oligosaccharides and polysaccharides may contribute to the currently limited menu of prebiotics. Entirely new biochemical classes of prebiotics may include peptides and lipids as different types of compounds and associated changes are screened by metagenomics and metabonomics. For example, prebiotic substrates could alter endogenous biochemical pathways. Amino acids such as arginine and glutamine are produced by some commensal bacteria and have protective effects in multiple models of gastrointestinal injury; they might also protect against NEC. Prebiotics could also stimulate the growth of beneficial microbes that produce lactate used by neighboring commensal bacteria, resulting in production of fuel sources such as short-chain fatty acids for intestinal epithelial cells. No fundamental reason exists for the stark limitations with respect to available prebiotics. Commensal microbes use a wide variety of organic substrates, and more detailed exploration of the metabolic pathways inside microbes may facilitate identification of novel prebiotics that selectively stimulate entire classes of beneficial microbes.

Similar to prebiotics, the current probiotics “universe” remains limited in scope and largely confined to lactic acid bacteria. As with prebiotics, no fundamental barrier constrains consideration of probiotics to a few selected genera. Current classes of probiotics were mostly developed because of their historical importance in dairy products (eg, yogurt) or adaptability to food or supplement formulations. As human and mammalian microbiome research expands, many heretofore unappreciated or undiscovered microbes may give rise to new classes of probiotics. In addition to development of natural probiotics, recombinant DNA technology will facilitate the creation of “designer” or engineered probiotics. As mechanisms of probiosis are uncovered at the molecular level, bacteria could be manipulated to express certain genes of interest. One example is a nonpathogenic E. coli that expresses surface proteins that bind and neutralize cholera toxin. The engineered probiotic Lactobacillus gasseri overproduced the reactive oxygen species–neutralizing manganese superoxide dismutase and significantly reduced inflammation in IL-10− deficient mice. An uncontrolled phase 1 trial of 10 patients has shown that a genetically modified strain of Lactococcus lactis, engineered to over-produce IL-10, was well-tolerated and showed promise in treating adults with Crohn disease. Recombinant probiotics and novel natural prebiotics could be important parts of future multiagent treatment regimens in gastroenterology, whereas natural probiotics and prebiotics might be important components of new functional food formulations for human nutrition (Figure 4).

In addition to the effects on the gastrointestinal disorders discussed in this review, manipulation of the human intestinal microbiome could prevent or attenuate traveler’s diarrhea, reduce the long-term risk of gastrointestinal neoplasia, diminish the effect of radiation or chemical-induced injury in the intestine, and correct nutritional imbalances that result from deficiencies or excesses of specific microbial populations. A deeper understanding of the fundamental biology underlying probiosis, this knowledge will facilitate probiotic engineering and rational selection of natural strains to prevent and treat a variety of gastrointestinal diseases. Natural strains may be useful in functional foods, and recombinant probiotics might be used to target specific gastrointestinal disorders.
standing of the ability of certain microbial populations to harvest energy from the diet\(^{163}\) could lead to therapeutic interventions for obesity and malnutrition.\(^ {164}\) Potential beneficiaries include the large global population of undernourished children. Especially promising is the mounting evidence indicating that intestinal bacterial fermentation products increase the effectiveness of oral rehydration therapy in severely dehydrated children and adults in developing countries.\(^ {165}\) As relatively low-cost therapeutics, probiotics represent a promising avenue for health interventions targeting those living in severely impoverished and medically underserved regions of the world.

Appropriate use of the knowledge uncovered by the gut microbiome and metagenomics research will require an understanding of how commensal, probiotic, and pathogenic microorganisms interact with one another and the mammalian host. Identification of key substrates and signaling mediators will help explain microbe–microbe–host interactions at the molecular, cellular, and population levels. Therapeutic approaches will target specific microorganisms, as well as metabolites of entire microbial communities. Deliberate manipulation of the human microbiome with “smart” antibiotics, probiotics, and prebiotics enables scientists and physicians to consider predictive remodeling of human-associated microbial communities in more sophisticated ways. As we progress from the era of relatively simplistic antibiotics-only approaches, the knowledge of the human microbiome and its function will enable personalized medicine to become truly holistic with respect to microbes and man. In addition to considering human genotypes, gastroenterologists will be able to consider the human microbiome when creating customized disease prevention and treatment regimens for individual patients.

References


148. Deshpande G, Rao S, Patoile S. Probiotics for prevention of necrotising enterocolitis in preterm neonates with very low birth-


Received November 6, 2008. Accepted January 13, 2009.

Reprint requests
Address requests for reprints to: James Versalovic, MD, PhD, Department of Pathology, Texas Children’s Hospital, 6621 Fannin MC 1-2261, Houston, Texas 77030. e-mail: jamesv@bcm.edu; fax: (832) 825-0164.

Conflicts of interest
The authors disclose the following: Dr. Versalovic has received unrestricted research support from Biogaia AB, Stockholm, Sweden, and has received honoraria from Group Danone, Paris, France.

Funding
This work was supported by National Institutes of Health (NIH) National Institute of Digestive and Kidney Disease (R01 DK065075 and P30 DK56338), NIH National Center for Complementary and Alternative Medicine (R01 AT004326 and R21 AT003482), and the US Department of Defense (HR0011-08-1-0009).